(2) May be the same for more than one year, consistent with the State's intermediate goals under § 200.17.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 6311(b)(2))

[67 FR 71716, Dec. 2, 2002]

§ 200.19 Other academic indicators.

- (a) Each State must use the following other academic indicators to determine AYP:
- (1) *High schools.* (i) The graduation rate for public high schools, which means—
- (A) The percentage of students, measured from the beginning of high school, who graduate from high school with a regular diploma (not including an alternative degree that is not fully aligned with the State's academic standards, such as a certificate or a GED) in the standard number of years; or
- (B) Another definition, developed by the State and approved by the Secretary in the State plan, that more accurately measures the rate of students who graduate from high school with a regular diploma as defined in paragraph (a)(1)(i)(A) of this section.
- (ii) In defining graduation rate, the State must avoid counting a dropout as a transfer.
- (2) Elementary and middle schools. At least one academic indicator for public elementary schools and at least one academic indicator for public middle schools, such as those under paragraph (b) of this section.
- (b) The State may include additional academic indicators determined by the State, including, but not limited to, the following:
- (1) Additional State or locally administered assessments not included in the State assessment system under §200.2.
 - (2) Grade-to-grade retention rates.
 - (3) Attendance rates.
- (4) Percentages of students completing gifted and talented, advanced placement, and college preparatory courses.
- (c) A State must ensure that its other academic indicators are—
 - (1) Valid and reliable;
- (2) Consistent with relevant, nationally recognized professional and technical standards, if any; and

- (3) Consistent throughout the State within each grade span.
- (d)(1) A State may, but is not required to, increase the goals of its other academic indicators over the course of the timeline under §200.15.
 - (2) The State-
- (i) Must disaggregate its other academic indicators by each group in §200.13(b)(7) for purposes of §200.20(b)(2) and section 1111(h) of the ESEA; but
- (ii) Need not disaggregate those indicators for determining AYP except as required under section 1111(b)(2)(C)(vii) of the ESEA.
- (e) Except as provided in §200.20(b)(2), a State—
- (1) May not use the indicators in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section to reduce the number, or change the identity, of schools that would otherwise be subject to school improvement, corrective action, or restructuring if those indicators were not used; but
- (2) May use the indicators to identify additional schools for school improvement, corrective action, or restructuring.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 6311(b)(2), (h))

[67 FR 71717, Dec. 2, 2002]

§ 200.20 Making adequate yearly progress.

A school or LEA makes AYP if it complies with paragraph (c) and with either paragraph (a) or (b) of this section separately in reading/language arts and in mathematics.

- (a)(1) A school or LEA makes AYP if—
- (i) Each group of students under §200.13(b)(7) meets or exceeds the State's annual measurable objectives under §200.18; and
- (ii) The school or LEA, respectively, meets or exceeds the State's other academic indicators under §200.19.
- (2) For a group under §200.13(b)(7) to be included in the determination of AYP for a school or LEA, the number of students in the group must be sufficient to yield statistically reliable information under §200.7(a).
- (b) If students in any group under $\S 200.13(b)(7)$ in a school or LEA do not meet the State's annual measurable objectives under $\S 200.18$, the school or LEA makes AYP if—

§ 200.21

- (1) The percentage of students in that group below the State's proficient achievement level decreased by at least 10 percent from the preceding year; and
- (2) That group made progress on one or more of the State's academic indicators under \$200.19 or the LEA's academic indicators under \$200.30(c).
- (c)(1) A school or LEA makes AYP
- (i) Not less than 95 percent of the students enrolled in each group under §200.13(b)(7) takes the State assessments under §200.2; and
- (ii) The group is of sufficient size to produce statistically reliable results under $\S 200.7(a)$.
- (2) The requirement in paragraph (c)(1) of this section does not authorize a State, LEA, or school to systematically exclude 5 percent of the students in any group under \$200.13(b)(7).
- (3) If a student takes the State assessments for a particular subject or grade level more than once, the State must use the student's results from the first administration to determine AYP.
- (d) For the purpose of determining whether a school or LEA has made AYP, a State may establish a uniform procedure for averaging data that includes one or more of the following:
- (1) Averaging data across school years.
 (i) A State may average data from the school year for which the determination is made with data from one or two school years immediately preceding that school year.
- (ii) If a Štate averages data across school years, the State must—
- (A) Implement, on schedule, the assessments in reading/language arts and mathematics in grades 3 through 8 and once in grades 10 through 12 required under §200.5(a)(2);
- (B) Report data resulting from the assessments under § 200.5(a)(2);
- (C) Determine AYP under §§200.13 through 200.20, although the State may base that determination on data only from the reading/language arts and mathematics assessments in the three grade spans required under §200.5(a)(1); and
- (D) Implement the requirements in section 1116 of the ESEA.
- (iii) A State that averages data across years must determine AYP on the basis of the assessments under

§200.5(a)(2) as soon as it has data from two or three years to average. Until that time, the State may use data from the reading/language arts and mathematics assessments required under §200.5(a)(1) to determine adequate yearly progress.

- (2) Combining data across grades. Within each subject area and subgroup, the State may combine data across grades in a school or LEA.
- (e)(1) In determining the AYP of an LEA, a State must include all students who were enrolled in schools in the LEA for a full academic year, as defined by the State.
- (2) In determining the AYP of a school, the State may not include students who were not enrolled in that school for a full academic year, as defined by the State.

 $\label{eq:conditional} \mbox{(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 6311(b)(2), (b)(3)(C)(xi))} \\ \mbox{[67 FR 71717, Dec. 2, 2002]}$

§ 200.21 Adequate yearly progress of a State.

For each State that receives funds under subpart A of this part and under subpart 1 of part A of Title III of the ESEA, the Secretary must, beginning with the 2004–2005 school year, annually review whether the State has—

- (a)(1) Made AYP as defined by the State in accordance with \S 200.13 through 200.20 for each group of students in \S 200.13(b)(7); and
- (2) Met its annual measurable achievement objectives under section 3122(a) of the ESEA relating to the development and attainment of English proficiency by limited English proficient students.
- (b) A State must include all students who were enrolled in schools in the State for a full academic year in reporting on the yearly progress of the State.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 7325) [67 FR 71717, Dec. 2, 2002]